NUTRIENTS REMOVAL FROM PARTIALLY TREATED WASTEWATER USING SOIL AQUIFER TREATMENT SYSTEM
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ABSTRACT
This study aims to evaluate SAT system in removing nutrients and bacteriological pollution (heterotrophic bacteria) from partially wastewater, and reuse the treated wastewater in agriculture according to Egyptian Code of Practice (ECP) and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) limits. Results indicated that the SAT system is effective in removing nutrients and heterotrophic bacteria from wastewater. The vadose zone acts as a filter and it is effective in removing contaminants from wastewater. The suitable distance for extracting water with acceptable quality is 750 m from the infiltration basin. Organic nitrogen, ammonia, nitrite, phosphate, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen were effectively removed with an efficiency of 100%. Heterotrophic bacteria’s concentration decreased with a removal efficiency of nearly 99.9% at 1500 m. According to FAO and ECP, limits the reclaimed water is suitable for irrigation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Soil aquifer treatment (SAT) systems are unconventional water resources; they are used to recharge partially treated wastewater through the unsaturated layer (vadose zone) to the aquifer. This technique achieves additional improvements in wastewater quality using various biochemical or physical soil processes [1, 2]. The vadose zone acts as a filter for the groundwater by removing contaminants that might come from the land surface [3].

The SAT is becoming a common practice in arid and semi-arid regions to produce water with acceptable quality [4, 5]. It has been carried out in many countries around the world [6-9].

Recharged wastewater percolates through the vadose zone and a series of chemical, physical, and biological treatment processes occurs [10, 11] until it reaches the groundwater. SAT systems efficiently remove contaminants from the recharged water such as suspended solids, heavy metals, microorganisms, and ammonium. They reduce biological and chemical oxygen demand. Furthermore, they minimize the use of chemicals and energy [12].

Based on the fact that biological degradation was active within the upper 30 cm of the soil layer [13, 14], the natural dilution processes occur in the soil and sub-soil, particularly in the unsaturated zone. The availability of oxygen in the vadose zone, especially at the top layer (~1.5 m) that is controlled by alternate flooding/drying periods [11].

15-17] is the main factor influencing the transformations of nitrogen and carbon in the process. Nitrification and denitrification occur mostly in the upper layers of the soil, where organic carbon is sufficient and degradable [18].

The most important advantages of SAT are mitigating depletion of groundwater levels [19, 20], protecting coastal aquifers from saltwater intrusion [8], storing surface water for future use, its cost is considered less than that of conventional treatment methods, improving recharged and groundwater quality, has low operation and maintenance, and is an economically feasible tertiary treatment for reuse in arid and semi-arid regions [10, 11, 21-25].

The bane of all SAT systems is the clogging of the infiltrating surface and that results in a reduction in infiltration rates [26, 27]. Limiting the total suspended solid concentration in the recharged water. Moreover, restricting the water depth to 30 cm, together with periodic scrapping or disking of basins has been effective in reducing the rate of clogging to a manageable level [28].

The partially treated wastewater that contains high levels of nutrients, may lead to eutrophication of the receiving water bodies. When it’s reused in irrigation, it can reduce some crop yields, even though there may be a more luxuriant growth of the non-useful parts of the crop [29]. The main aim of the present study is to investigate the effect of the SAT system in removing nutrients and heterotrophic bacteria from partially treated wastewater to be reused in unrestricted irrigation.
Furthermore, participation in solving agricultural water shortage problems in Egypt, especially in the desert regions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study area

The study area is situated in the west of the Nile River at Sohag governorate (El-Dair region). It is occupied by a thick succession of sandy and gravelly Pleistocene sediments. It is covered with a thin layer of recent wadi deposits (sandy gravel) ranging in thickness from 1 m to more than 10 m [30]. This site is bounded by the cultivated floodplain from the east and the Eocene limestone plateau from the west [31]. The depth of the water table in the study area is nearly 25-30 m [32] and the flow direction is in the northeast direction [33]. The infiltration basin is located in El-Dair region west of Sohag city (Figure 1). Currently, the infiltration basin's water comes from the west of the Sohag wastewater treatment plant.

2.2 Wastewater treatment plant

The treatment plant is located 12 km west of Sohag city (Figure 1). It was established in 1995 (the oldest wastewater treatment plant in Sohag Governorate) with a design capacity of more than 40,000 m$^3$/day. It serves people living in the western part of the Nile Valley in the city.

![Fig. 1. Location of El-Dair region [34].](image)

In the west of the Sohag treatment plant, wastewater is treated by primary treatment followed by an aerobic activated-sludge process and clarifiers. Then the secondary effluent is allowed to irrigate wooden forests, which infiltrate the soil through flooding basins into the aquifer.

2.3 Infiltration basin

The infiltration basin is located in the area bounded by the cultivated land from the east and the Eocene limestone plateau from the west, with a general eastward slope towards the old agricultural lands. This site lies in the wadi deposits (sandy gravel) and the subsurface soils are highly porous and permeable [35]. There is a recharge site (Figure 2) that consists of eight infiltration basins.

![Fig. 2. Infiltration basin location.](image)

Each one is alternately filled with partially treated wastewater (wetting/drying periods) from a single outlet at the edge of the basin as shown in Figure 3.

2.4 Soil exploration

Auger drilling is obtained to identify the arrangement of substrates. It is the most common method of soil exploration up to a depth of 60 m (Figure 4). The soil column was excavated, with an average of 50 m in-depth. The soil from the infiltration basin was sandy gravel from 0 up to 12 m, fine-medium sand from 12 up to 20 m, medium-coarse sand with shale from 20 up to 36 m, and medium-coarse sand with minor clay from 36 up to 50 m in depth.

2.5 SAMPLES COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS TESTS

Samples were collected from four different locations around the infiltration basin as shown in Figure 5, which are located at 500, 750, 1000, and 1500 m.
The measurements were performed in the central laboratory of the Sohag water and wastewater company. Recharged and reclaimed water samples were collected in one-liter plastic bottles; their caps were closed right away to prevent air entry and were kept in a cooler with ice. The samples were transported from the field to the laboratory within one hour to be analyzed.

All laboratory measurements were performed according to the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater 23rd edition [36]. Organic nitrogen, total nitrogen, and ammonia concentration were determined in the laboratory using Kjeldahl method. Nitrite, nitrate, and phosphate were determined using DR/2000 Spectrophotometer. Heterotrophic bacteria were determined using Heterotrophic Plate Count method. The results of the groundwater samples
The removal efficiency of nutrients and heterotrophic bacteria was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Removal} \, (\%) = \left(\frac{\text{Inf Conc.} - \text{Eff Conc.}}{\text{Inf Conc.}}\right) \times 100
\]

\text{Removal} \, (\%) \text{ is the percentage of removal efficiency. Inf Conc. is the recharged water concentration. Eff Conc. is the reclaimed water concentration.}

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The level of wastewater treatment achieved by the SAT system was indicated by comparing the recharged and the reclaimed water quality. The average recharged and reclaimed water quality parameters are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Recharged and reclaimed water quality parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Recharged water</th>
<th>Samples at different distances from the basin (m)</th>
<th>FAO limit</th>
<th>ECP limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>41.25</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>40.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>82.52</td>
<td>41.55</td>
<td>41.75</td>
<td>40.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Kjeldahl nitrogen</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterotrophic bacteria</td>
<td>CFU/ml</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Organic nitrogen concentrations (ON)

The comparison of ON concentrations between the recharged and the reclaimed water are shown in Table 1. It is observed that the recharged water has been naturally treated with excellent removal efficiency during SAT system. From Table 1, it is noticed that the ON concentration in the recharged water was 18.2 mg/l. Then, it dropped sharply to 0.1 mg/l at a distance of about 500 m. Nitrogen concentration can be decreased in the system via mobilization and movement to the aquifer, or it can be immobilized through both biotic and abiotic processes [39]. Biological immobilization occurs when the ions are either positive or negative charge. Immobilization increases the obstruction time for nitrogen reaching the aquifer. Furthermore, there are three main processes occurring during the SAT system: [a] removal of particulate Kjeldahl nitrogen by filtration through the upper soil layer, [b] removal of NH₃ by nitrification and denitrification occurring in the soil and the aquifer, and [c] removal of dissolved Kjeldahl nitrogen (mostly ammonia) by adsorption onto soil particles. As shown in Figure 6, ON concentration in the reclaimed water at a distance more than 500 m was removed with an efficiency of 100%. This is due to the lateral movement through the aquifer, and natural dilution by mixing with the groundwater. According to ECP and FAO, there are no guidelines for the maximum concentration of ON in the treated wastewater to be reused in agricultural purposes. So, the reclaimed water is suitable for irrigation.
3.2 Ammonia concentrations (NH$_3$)

From Table 1, it is noticed that the NH$_3$ concentration in the reclaimed water was lower than that of the recharged water. The concentration in the recharged water was 46 mg/l. The concentration at 500 m was 0.2 mg/l. This decrease in concentration is due to the following main process, removal of NH$_3$ by nitrification occurring in the soil and the aquifer. Nitrification converts ammonia into nitrite as a first step and then converts nitrite to nitrate in the second step. Nitrification is an oxidation process; it is the most common way to biologically remove NH$_3$ from wastewater. This process occurs in the presence of oxygen under aerobic conditions using bacteria (often *Nitrosomonas*) to oxidize NH$_3$ to NO$_2$.

\[ \text{Ammonia} + \text{Oxygen} + \text{Alkalinity} + \text{Nitrosomonas} = \text{Nitrite} \]

Drying periods restore oxygen concentration in the vadose zone required for nitrification [40]. Moreover, NH$_3$ is efficiently immobilized by clay minerals and organic matter (OM) in sediment [41]. It is clearly noticed that, the NH$_3$ concentration was completely removed (zero mg/l) with a removal efficiency of 100% at a distance of more than 500 m as shown in Figure 7. This finding agrees with [42], which reported that a higher removal efficiency of ammonia (95%) was achieved using SAT system. This is maybe attributed to the natural dilution by the groundwater because of the horizontal movement through the aquifer. There are no guidelines by ECP and FAO for the maximum concentration of NH$_3$ in the treated wastewater to be reused in agricultural purposes. Therefore, the reclaimed water is suitable for irrigating all crops.

![Fig. 6](image6.png)

**Fig. 6.** Organic nitrogen (ON) removal efficiency at different distances from the infiltration basin.

![Fig. 7](image7.png)

**Fig. 7.** Ammonia (NH$_3$) removal efficiency at different distances from the infiltration basin.
Table 1 shows the comparison of NO$_2$ concentrations between recharged and reclaimed water samples. Results showed that, the recharged water has been naturally treated by SAT system. The concentration was 1.92 mg/l in the recharged water. NO$_2$ concentration was completely removed through the vadose zone. In the second step of the nitrification process, oxidation occurs under aerobic conditions using a type of bacteria (often *Nitrobacter*) to oxidize the NO$_2$ to NO$_3$. 

\[ \text{Nitrite} + \text{Oxygen} + \text{Alkalinity} + \text{Nitrobacter} = \text{Nitrate} \]  

As oxygen in the vadose zone supports nitrification, SAT system can excel at nitrogen removal [43].

![Fig. 8. Nitrite (NO$_2$) removal efficiency at different distances from the infiltration basin.](image)

3.4 Nitrate Concentrations (NO$_3$)

As water passes through the vadose zone, NO$_3$ was the main existing form of total N in the infiltration basin. It was almost the only existing form after the recharged water reached the aquifer. The concentration of NO$_3$ in the recharged water was 16.4 mg/l. The concentration in the reclaimed water at distance of about 500 m was 41.25 mg/l, at 750, 1000, and 1500 m was 41.75, 40.62, and 41.82 mg/l respectively. NO$_3$ can move downwards and become a direct contaminant to groundwater, as it infiltrates through the vadose zone. NO$_3$ naturally occur within the soil due to decomposition and organic matter. NO$_3$ is removed by the common process denitrification (conversion of NO$_3$ to nitrogen gas). Denitrification is a reduction process that occurs in the absence of oxygen under anoxic conditions using heterotrophic bacteria (usually *pseudomonas*) to reduce nitrate to nitric oxide, nitrous oxide and nitrogen gas.

\[ \text{Nitrate} + \text{organics} + \text{pseudomonas} = \text{nitrogen gas} + \text{alkalinity} \]  

NO$_3$ concentrations showed irregular distribution concerning distance from the infiltration basin as shown in Table 1. Samples concentration indicated negligible denitrification of NO$_3$. Therefore, there is high NO$_3$ pollution in the reclaimed water. These higher concentrations are attributed to nitrification of NH$_3$. NH$_3$ is consumed by combining volatilization and adsorption with subsequent nitrification, which would yield high concentrations of nitrate in the reclaimed water. Furthermore, NO$_2$ is not stable and can react with oxygen in water to form NO$_3$ (oxidized to nitrates under aerobic conditions). The agricultural practices in the studied area especially artificial and manure fertilization are maybe responsible for the high concentration in the reclaimed water. Due to nitrate’s negative charge, it is highly movable in the soil. It will infiltrate to groundwater and it can be dangerous unless it is absorbed by plants and micro-organisms. The ECP doesn’t provide any limits of NO$_3$ concentrations in the treated wastewater. However, FAO states that the concentration of NO$_3$ should not exceed 5 mg/l for non-restricted irrigation and for slight to moderate restriction it mustn’t exceed 30 mg/l. Therefore, the
reclaimed water is unsuitable for irrigation purposes.

3.5 Phosphate concentration (PO$_4$)

Table 1 illustrates the changes of PO$_4$ concentrations in recharged and reclaimed water. As shown in Figure 9, PO$_4$ concentration was completely removed from reclaimed water with a removal efficiency of 100%. The results agree with [42], which reported that PO$_4$ removal efficiency was (~ 90%) due to sorption. The removal of PO$_4$ during the SAT system can be achieved by microbial uptake. The main processes responsible for PO$_4$ removal are chemical precipitation and physical adsorption mechanisms. This is due to the large soil volume participating in the process, as well as the combined action of chemical and biological processes. The superior sorption performance may be explained by redox conditions within the storage zone. Furthermore, the depth of the vadose zone allows increasing the water residence time which leads to retaining PO$_4$ in the porous medium. The particulate PO$_4$ (mineral and/or organic) is physically retained by filtration on the surface of the filter bed. FAO, considered 2 mg/l as a maximum contamination level (MCL) of PO$_4$ in the treated wastewater that have to be used in agriculture. ECP, considered 30 mg/l is MCL for long and short-term use. All PO$_4$ concentrations were below MCL. Therefore, we can consider that the reclaimed water is safe for agriculture purposes.

![Figure 9. Phosphate (PO$_4$) removal efficiency at different distances from the infiltration basin.](image)

3.6 Total nitrogen (TN)

Total nitrogen was determined by calculation as the sum of the organic nitrogen, ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite. From Table 1, the concentration in the reclaimed water was reduced in comparison to the recharged water. The concentration in the reclaimed water was 82.52 mg/l. It is decreased with the increase in distance from the infiltration basin. It was 41.55, 41.75, 40.62, and 41.82 mg/l at 500, 750, 1000, and 1500 m, respectively. Most of the nitrogen removal occurred in the vadose zone, and the nitrogen fraction that reached the aquifer (mostly NO$_3$) continued to 1500 m. TN removal was dominated by redox processes. As shown in Figure 10, TN decreased with an average removal efficiency of 50%. The results agree with [42, 44], which reported that TN removal efficiency was 40–63% during managed aquifer recharge (MAR) system. According to ECP and FAO, there are no guidelines for the maximum concentration of TN in the treated wastewater to be reused in agricultural purposes. So, the reclaimed water is suitable for irrigation.
3.7 Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN)

The total kjeldahl nitrogen represents the total of organic nitrogen and ammonia forms of nitrogen. As shown in Table 1, Kjeldahl nitrogen was removed very well by SAT system at a distance of more than 500 m. The concentration in the recharged water was 64.2 mg/l. After nearly 30 m percolation through the vadose zone, and 500 m lateral movement in the aquifer, TKN concentration reached to 0.3 mg/l. Low TKN concentration with low NH$_3$ nitrogen maybe caused by the ability of the microorganisms to break down compounds that are part of the ON. Furthermore, the removal of TKN was due to adsorption in the vadose zone. With the increase in lateral movement in the aquifer, TKN concentration decreased to zero mg/l. This is due to the natural dilution by the groundwater. From Figure 11, the overall TKN removal efficiency was 99.5% at 500 m and 100% at a distance of more than 500 m.

3.8 Heterotrophic bacteria (HB)

Results indicated a significant influence of the vadose zone on bacterial concentration removal. From Table 1, it is observed that HB concentration decreased with the increase in depth to the aquifer (approximately 30 m), and with the increase in distance from the infiltration basin. The concentration in the recharged water was 85000 CFU/ml. Due to the natural dilution with the aquifer, the concentration in the reclaimed water at different distances of 500, 750, 1000, and 1500 m was 134, 69, 30, and 2 CFU/ml, respectively. SAT system showed excellent removal of HB. As shown in Figure 12, the removal efficiency was about 99.9% at all distances from the infiltration basin. This is in line with [45] findings. It is noticed that, bacterial transfers from the infiltration basin to
groundwater was affected by the vadose zone and the aquifer. The soil greatly affects the number of microorganisms that remain in the recharged water greatly, as it passes through the layers before reaching the aquifer [46].

The decrease in the concentration is due to the major removal mechanisms occurring in the vadose zone that includes sand filtration, adsorption, and biological degradation. Depth of the vadose zone increases the sand particles’ available surface area; this may significantly capture the bacteria from the recharged water. On the other hand, the removal rates improvement can be explained by the impact of travel time during percolation through the vadose zone. Moreover, attenuation of microorganisms during infiltration is achieved through inactivation, straining, and attachment to aquifer materials.

![Fig. 12. Heterotrophic bacteria (HB) removal efficiency at different distances from the infiltration basin.](image)

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, results indicated that the SAT system is very effective in removing nutrients from partially treated wastewater. The vadose zone plays an important role in wastewater treatment. It acts as a filter for the groundwater recharge, and it is more effective in removing contaminants that come from the land surface. Mixing of the recharged water with the groundwater and the slow movement through the aquifer increase the contact time with the aquifer and leading to further purification of water. The suitable distance for extracting the recharged water with acceptable quality is 750 m. ON, NH₃, NO₂, PO₄, and TKN were effectively removed with an efficiency of 100%. While TN concentration was removed with an efficiency of 50%. SAT system is not effective in removing NO₃ from the recharged water. HB concentration decreased with the increase in depth to the aquifer, and with the increase in distance from the infiltration basin. The removal efficiency was 99.8% at 500 m. According to the FAO and the ECP, the reclaimed water is suitable for irrigation.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

- Study the performance of SAT system using different soil types by laboratory scale SAT system.
- Study using the recovered water in potable uses.
- Study the performance of SAT system for industrial water treatment and reuse this water for agricultural purposes.
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إزالة المغذيات من مياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة جزئياً باستخدام تقنية المعالجة خلال طبقات التربة

المبحث العربي:

إن استخدام مياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة في الأنشطة الزراعية بطريقة مباشرة أو غير مباشرة في أزدحام لاسما في المناطق التي تقدر فيها المياه ويزيد فيها عدد السكان حيث تمثل مصدراً للمياه والمغذيات في نفس الوقت، إن النسج المستخدمة حالياً في معالجة مياه الصرف الصحي كتيراً ما تطور على استخدام الكيميائيات الخطرة كالأحماض والقواعد والكلورين والمركبات الأخرى. تعتبر تقنية معالجة مياه الصرف الصحي خلال طبقات التربة وسيلة اقتصادية وجدية لتحسين جودة مياه الصرف حيث تتحقق هذه الطريقة على مرور المياه خلال طبقات التربة غير المشبعة إلى المياه الجوفية ثم خلال طبقات التربة المشبعة ومن ثم تحسن جودتها. تعتبر هذه الطريقة أكثر أماناً على الصحة العامة وسيلة قيادة شعياً عن معالجة مياه الصرف الصحي ثلاثياً ثم إقلاعها في المصارف الزراعية التي تصب في النهاية في نهر النيل الذي يمثل المصدر الأساسي لمياه الشرب في مصر. وحيث أن مياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة تحتوى على مركبات عضوية سيصل بعضها إلى مطرات مياه الشرب إذا ما أُلقيت في المصارف الزراعية ومن الثابت والمؤكد علماً أن عملية الكلورة الابتدائية التي تستخدمها محطات تنقية المياه السلبية في مصر ستتكون مع هذه المواد العضوية نواتج تطورية نماذج بدات بتصحية بموضوع السلامة. تم في هذا البحث الحکم على كفاءة تقنية المعالجة لإنتاج مياه صالحة للري غير المحدد إجراء بعض الاختبارات على عينات المياه المستخرجة من الخزان الجوفي. أوضحت النتائج أن هيكلة التربة في معالجة مياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة جزئياً وأهمية الطبقية غير المشبعة وانها أكثر فعالية في إزالة التسوس والمغذيات الموجودة في مياه الصرف الصحي. كفاءة إزلة البكتيريا عضوية النباتات كانت 99.8% عند سماكة 50 متر من أحواض الترشيح. كفاءة إزالة الفوسفات (PO4), النيتروجين (NH3, NO2, NO3), النيتروجين (FAO) كفاهة ترويج (TKN) كانت 100%، طبقاً لمنظمة الأغذية والزراعة (FAO). المياه المستخرجة من إبر السحب مفيدة لري الزراعات (ECP-501,2015).